

Addition of MRI after 20-week scan could provide more certainty in diagnosing fetal brain abnormalities

December 15 2016

An extra scan using MRI could help to more accurately detect brain abnormalities and give more certainty for parents whose mid-pregnancy ultrasound scan showed a potential problem, according to a study published in *The Lancet*.

The study involved 570 women whose mid-pregnancy ultrasound scan revealed a potential brain abnormality in the foetus. Within two weeks of their first scan they were given an extra scan using MRI, which increased accuracy of the <u>diagnosis</u> meaning almost all scans (93%) were correct. This extra information helped doctors give a more accurate diagnosis and advice to parents.

In comparison the mid-pregnancy scan alone was correct in two-thirds of cases (68%). The accuracy of the scans was confirmed by scanning babies after birth or by autopsy for miscarriages and terminations.

The mid-pregnancy scan is an ultrasound scan given between 18 and 21 weeks of pregnancy to detect major physical abnormalities such as spina bifida, cleft lip, and heart and <u>brain abnormalities</u>. If a problem is found, women are referred for more tests and in some cases are offered a termination or counselling. Brain abnormalities occur in three in every 1000 pregnancies and in some cases cause miscarriage or stillbirth.

"This study is the first of its kind and has shown that adding an MRI



scan when a problem is detected provides additional information to support parents making decisions about their pregnancy." said lead author Professor Paul Griffiths from The University of Sheffield, UK. "Based on our findings we propose that an MRI scan should be given in any pregnancy where the foetus may have a suspected brain abnormality."

In the study, doctors analysing the MRI and ultrasound scans were asked to rate how confident they were of their diagnosis. The study also analysed how the MRI result changed the prognosis and advice given by doctors.

Overall MRI scans were accurate in almost all cases (93%) compared with around two-thirds (68%) for ultrasound. They also corrected the diagnosis from ultrasound in a quarter of cases (25%, 144 of 570).

The MRI gave extra information about the brain abnormality in half of cases (49%, 387 of 783). For example, in one case the MRI confirmed the ultrasound diagnosis and identified an additional abnormality. This information allowed doctors to give parents a more certain outlook, halving (55%) the number of cases in the 'unknown' prognosis group and increasing the number of pregnancies predicted to be 'normal' (135%), 'favourable' (18%) or 'poor' (56%).

Doctors agreed that the extra MRI scan changed the outlook for the pregnancy in at least a fifth of cases (20%, 157 of 783). And as a result this changed how the pregnancy was managed in one in three cases (34%, 269 of 783), with terminations offered in an extra 11% of cases (84 of 783) after the MRI and parents seeking counselling in 15% more cases (115 of 783).

Doctors using MRI were more confident of their diagnosis - saying they had 'high confidence' in 95% of cases (544 of 570), compared with 82%



(465 of 570 cases) for doctors using ultrasound. In addition, 95% (257 of 270) of mothers said that they would have an MRI scan if a future pregnancy showed a brain abnormality and around 80% (227 of 277) said that the information from the scan helped them better understand their baby's condition.

The researchers note that there will be further analysis of the trial's results, including a health economics analysis, to confirm if the extra scan should be used routinely. Within the study, two foetuses (of 570, less than 1%) were diagnosed correctly by the ultrasound and incorrectly by the MRI. Only cases identified by the ultrasound scan were given the extra MRI, so any cases where an ultrasound did not identify an abnormality would not have been included in this study.

Writing in a linked Comment Professor Rod Scott, University of Vermont, USA, said: "Accurate diagnosis of significant brain abnormalities has important therapeutic implications. Consequently, it is essential that tools used for prenatal diagnosis are rigorously evaluated... This trial strongly supports the view that iuMRI is an excellent technique, and it should be incorporated into clinical practice as soon as possible."

More information: *The Lancet*, <u>www.thelancet.com/journals/lan ...</u> (16)31723-8/fulltext

Provided by Lancet

Citation: Addition of MRI after 20-week scan could provide more certainty in diagnosing fetal brain abnormalities (2016, December 15) retrieved 21 July 2023 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2016-12-addition-mri-week-scan-certainty.html</u>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.