

# Leadless pacemaker study assesses safety and efficacy

August 30 2015

---

A leadless cardiac pacemaker showed "good safety and reliable function" during the initial six months of follow-up in the LEADLESS II study, investigators reported during a Hot Line presentation at the ESC congress 2015.

The findings, published simultaneously in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, suggest the device is effective and safe, and can serve as an alternative to conventional transvenous pacemakers in patients with indications for permanent pacing, said principal investigator Vivek Reddy, MD.

"Leadless [cardiac pacemakers](#) have the potential to overcome many of the complications of conventional transvenous pacemakers," said Dr. Reddy, from Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai in New York, NY, USA. "Transvenous leads are considered the Achilles' heel of conventional pacemakers because they are particularly susceptible to complications."

LEADLESS II was a prospective, non-randomized, pre-marketing study that included 526 patients (mean age 75.8 years) from 56 sites in 3 countries.

All patients had indications for permanent, single-chamber, ventricular pacing and were implanted non-surgically with an active fixation, rate-adaptive pacemaker using a steerable delivery catheter.

The primary effectiveness endpoint was clinically acceptable pacing capture thresholds ( $\geq 2.0$  V at 0.4 ms) and sensing (R-wave  $\geq 5.0$  mV or  $\geq$  implant value) at six months, and the primary safety endpoint was freedom from serious adverse device effects (SADEs) over the same time frame.

Among 300 subjects in the intention-to-treat primary analysis, the primary effectiveness and safety endpoints were met in 90.0% and 93.3% respectively, and effectiveness was met in 93.4% of successfully implanted patients.

There was a 6.7% rate of SADEs over 6 months, including cardiac perforation (1.3%), device dislodgement with successful percutaneous retrieval (1.7%), and capture threshold elevation requiring percutaneous retrieval and placement of a new leadless pacemaker (1.3%). There were no device-related infections or chronic electrical failure.

Among the five device dislodgements observed, device embolisation to the lung occurred in three subjects and migration to the right femoral vein in two subjects.

A sub-analysis looking at [complications](#) and operator experience suggests the SADE rate "would be expected to improve with increased operator experience," noted Dr. Reddy.

"With the exception of one operator, none of the other operators in this trial had any prior clinical experience with implantation of the device."

When cases were stratified according to the first 10 devices implanted versus subsequent implants by the same operator, the procedural SADE, device dislodgement, and cardiac perforation rates changed from 6.8% to 3.6% ( $P=0.6$ ), 1.3% to 0.0% ( $P=0.9$ ), and 1.5% to 1.8% ( $P=0.6$ ), respectively.

"These multicenter data represent the largest population of patients to receive a leadless [pacemaker](#) system and as such provide unique insight into their efficacy and safety," concluded Dr. Reddy.

"When interpreted in the context of limited prior experience of the majority of operators with this new technology, these results provide support for the applicability of this technology in routine clinical practice."

Provided by European Society of Cardiology

Citation: Leadless pacemaker study assesses safety and efficacy (2015, August 30) retrieved 21 January 2023 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-08-leadless-pacemaker-safety-efficacy.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.