

COVID-19 and small island nations: What we can learn from New Zealand and Iceland

23 September 2020, by David Murdoch, Magnús Gottfreðsson



Credit: Shutterstock/motioncenter

Despite being at opposite ends of the Earth, Iceland and New Zealand have many similarities. Both are small island nations, heavily reliant on tourism and currently led by young female prime ministers.

Both countries have also been commended for their responses to the COVID-19 pandemic, characterized by science-informed policy and a high degree of public trust.

At the moment, Iceland and New Zealand have some of the lowest <u>COVID-19 deaths per capita</u> among OECD countries (2.83 and 0.51 per 100,000 population, respectively, compared with an OECD average of 24.01 per 100,000).

Both have been rated in the top 14 <u>safest countries</u> immediately to reinstate <u>stay-at-home orders in</u> <u>in the world for COVID-19</u>. <u>Auckland</u>, raise the alert level for the rest of the

But since the first cases were identified in each country in late February 2020, the two nations have taken different pathways in their COVID-19 responses. What lessons can we learn from their journeys so far?

New Zealand's strategy

New Zealand is one of the few countries to openly declare a COVID-19 <u>elimination strategy</u>. This involved a progressively strengthened contact-tracing and isolation system, with early and stringent use of shutdowns and <u>border controls</u>.

A <u>nationwide shutdown</u> was instigated on March 26 soon after community transmission was first demonstrated in the country and before any deaths had occurred. Alongside the shutdown, the <u>border</u> <u>was closed</u> to all but New Zealand citizens and residents.

A 14-day quarantine in managed facilities was implemented for all new arrivals. These border controls have continued to today despite the huge impact on the tourism industry.

New Zealand's "go hard and go early" strategy proved to be more effective than most had anticipated. The country moved back to its lowest alert level on June 8, after only seven weeks of shutdown.

A new cluster emerged

On August 11, after more than 100 days with no community transmission of COVID-19, a <u>cluster of cases</u> not linked to other known case was detected in Auckland. This outbreak is still being contained and no source has yet been identified.

The response from the government was immediately to reinstate <u>stay-at-home orders in</u> <u>Auckland</u>, raise the alert level for the rest of the country, and further tighten systems at the border and in quarantine and isolation facilities.

Key to management of this resurgence was the use of rapid genome sequencing and a new requirement for <u>mask use when traveling on public</u> <u>transport</u>.

Iceland's strategy



In contrast to New Zealand, Iceland's <u>strategy</u> involved no shutdown period, no official border closure to non-residents, and negligible use of managed quarantine facilities.

The aim instead is to mitigate infection so it does not overwhelm the health-care system, and to keep the numbers as low as possible. As in New Zealand, there is a new requirement for <u>wearing</u> <u>face masks</u> when traveling on public transport and where physical distancing is difficult.

The cornerstone of Iceland's response has been easy access to COVID-19 testing and mass screening, alongside quarantine and contact tracing. This was enabled by a public-private partnership between the Icelandic health authorities, the National University Hospital of Iceland and local biopharmaceutical company deCODE Genetics.

At one stage, Iceland was performing <u>more tests</u> per head of population than any other country.

Testing for new arrivals

As Iceland became free of community transmission of COVID-19 in mid-May, pressure grew from the tourism industry and other stakeholders to <u>reduce</u> <u>the 14-day quarantine</u> policy for new arrivals into the country.

In response, a controversial <u>new border screening</u> program was implemented on June 15. This under a Creative required all incoming travelers to be tested once for COVID-19 on arrival and then urged to selfquarantine until results came back, usually within 24 hours.

As a consequence, tourism in June and July exceeded all expectations in Iceland.

But increasing community transmission, with several clusters arising from travelers who had <u>tested negative on arrival</u> prompted a stepwise tightening of the border system.

<u>Since August 19</u>, all incoming travelers have had to undergo mandatory self-quarantine, during which they need to return two negative COVID-19 tests at

least five days apart.

The change to this two-test strategy proved to be a wise move, as 25 (20%) of the 126 active infections in inbound travelers were detected only by the second test.

Science, trust and adaptability

Although they adopted different strategies, both Iceland and New Zealand demonstrate the importance of decisive, science-informed decisionmaking and clear communication involving regular public briefings by senior officials.

As a consequence, high levels of public trust have been recorded in both <u>lceland</u> and <u>New Zealand</u> although this has varied through the pandemic.

The prominent role of scientists, the use of multiinstitutional collaborations as part of COVID-19 response strategies, and the willingness to adapt to new knowledge have also been key features for both countries.

Only time will enable a full assessment of each country's COVID-19 <u>strategy</u>. More than ever, the global community needs to learn from each other's experiences, avoid dogmatism and be adaptable in our national responses as we navigate a path out of this pandemic.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation



APA citation: COVID-19 and small island nations: What we can learn from New Zealand and Iceland (2020, September 23) retrieved 21 July 2022 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-09-covid-small-island-nations-zealand.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.