

## Open-label placebo works as well as doubleblind placebo in irritable bowel syndrome

30 March 2021



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

For decades, the power of the placebo effect was least, could be—receiving a pharmacologically active treatment. A new study by physicianresearchers at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) suggests that patients don't need to be deceived to receive benefit from treatment with placebo.

In a randomized clinical trial published in the journal Pain, researchers found participants with moderate to severe irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) who were knowingly treated with a pharmacologically inactive pill—referred to as an honest or open-label <u>placebo</u>—reported clinically meaningful improvements in their IBS symptoms. People who received the open-label placebo experienced improvements that were significantly greater than those reported by participants assigned to a no-pill control group. There was no difference in symptom improvement between those who received open-label or double-blind placebos. The results build on the research team's previous findings and challenge the long-held notion that concealment or deception are key elements in the

placebo effect.

"The clinical response to open-label placebo in this six-week trial was high, with 69 percent of participants who received open-label placebo reporting a clinically meaningful improvement in their symptoms," said first and corresponding author Anthony J. Lembo, MD, Professor of Medicine in the Division of Gastroenterology at BIDMC. "IBS is one of the most common reasons for healthcare consultations and absenteeism from work or school. Effective treatment options for IBS are limited, and we hypothesized it may be possible to ethically harness the placebo effect for clinical benefit."

For the rigorously designed clinical trial, researchers enrolled 262 adult participants, 18 to 80 years old with at least moderately severe irritable bowel syndrome, as measured by the thought to lie in patients' belief that they were—or at validated IBS-Severity Scoring System (IBS-SSS), which measures frequency and severity of abdominal pain and distention, quality of life and other relevant factors across a scale of 0-500. Participants were examined, filled out baseline questionnaires and were randomized into one of three study arms; open-label placebo; double-blind (which included double-blind placebo or doubleblind peppermint oil); or no-pill control. During their examinations, all participants discussed the placebo effect, the trial and its aims with their physicians.

> The open-label group received pill bottles labeled "open-label placebo," and were told that the pills inside were pharmacologically inert, but could make them feel better through the placebo effect. The double-blind group received pill bottles labeled "double-blind placebo or peppermint oil." Participants in the double-blind group received either a placebo or an identical pill containing peppermint oil, but neither they nor the research team knew which they received. All participants who received pills were instructed to take one pill

> > 1/3



three times a day, 30 minutes before meals. The no-may be as effective as double-blinded placebo has pill control group received no pills but otherwise followed identical study protocol. During return visits three and six weeks into the study, all participants completed questionnaires, were verbally asked about adverse events and briefly met with a study physician.

pain conditions." More information: Anthony Lembo et al, Openlabel placebo vs double-blind placebo for irritable bowel syndrome, Pain (2021). DOI:

research, especially in chronic visceral and somatic

implications for clinical practice and for future

Lembo and colleagues—including senior author, Ted 10.1097/j.pain.000000000002234 J. Kaptchuk, Director of the Program in Placebo Studies and the Therapeutic Encounter at BIDMC—found that improvement in IBS-SSS scores from baseline to the six-week endpoint was significantly greater in the open-label placebo group Center compared to the no-pill control group. Additionally, participants in the double-blind placebo group also saw superior symptom improvement compared to the no-pill control group, but the double-blind and open-label groups were not different from each other.

Provided by Beth Israel Deaconess Medical

Next, the researchers performed a post hoc analysis of the participants who experienced large clinical improvements—those who improved by at least 50 points and by at least 150 points, considered strong and very strong clinical responses, respectively. A greater percentage of participants in the open-label placebo and doubleblind placebo groups reported a 50 point reduction in IBS severity score compared to the no-pill control group (approximately 70 percent in each placebo group compared to 54 percent in the no pill control group). Similarly, approximately 30 percent of openlabel placebo and double-blind placebo participants reported a 150 point reduction in IBS symptoms, compared to only 12 percent of the no-pill group.

"If the presumption that deception is necessary for placebos to be effective is false, then many theories about the mechanisms that drive placebo effects may need modification," said Kaptchuk, who with colleagues in 2010, published the results of the first randomized controlled trial to show that patients with IBS responded well to treatment with open-label placebo. Subsequent research has demonstrated similar findings in patients with what they call subjective symptoms, including low back pain, knee pain, cancer-related fatigue, migraine headaches, menopausal hot flashes, and allergic rhinitis. "Our finding that openly prescribed placebo



APA citation: Open-label placebo works as well as double-blind placebo in irritable bowel syndrome (2021, March 30) retrieved 1 June 2022 from <a href="https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-03-open-label-placebo-double-blind-bowel-syndrome.html">https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-03-open-label-placebo-double-blind-bowel-syndrome.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.